

<b><i>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</i></b>	Application No. 09/821,883	Applicant(s) LAUS ET AL.
	Examiner Stephen L. Rawlings, Ph.D.	Art Unit 1642

## All Participants:

**Status of Application:**

(1) Stephen L. Rawlings, Ph.D.,

(3)

(2) Jacqueline F. Mahoney.

(4)

**Date of Interview:** 26 October 2004

**Time:** 3:00 p.m.

**Type of Interview:**

- Telephonic  
 Video Conference  
 Personal (Copy given to:  Applicant  Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:  Yes  No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

## Part I

#### Rejection(s) discussed:

#### **Claims discussed:**

78 and 12-19

#### Prior art documents discussed:

## Part II

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

See Continuation Sheet

### Part III

- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

---

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The Examiner telephoned Ms. Mahoney, leaving a telephone voice message, informing her that claims 7 and 8 are allowable. The Examiner requested authorization to cancel claims 9-12, drawn to non-elected inventions; or alternatively, if Applicant wishes to have the merit of claims 9-12 considered, the Examiner requested that Applicant provide an amendment putting the claims in condition for rejoinder in accordance with the guidance provided by MPEP 821.04..